

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,232	09/18/2006	Naoki Ito	129407	7359
25944 77590 07/16/2010 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAMINER	
			LAIOS, MARIA J	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction25944@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/593 232 ITO, NAOKI Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Fyaminer Art Unit MARIA J. LAIOS 1795 All Participants: Status of Application: (1) MARIA J. LAIOS. (3) \_\_\_\_\_. (2) Steve Jinks. (4) \_\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 7 July 2010 Time: 11am Type of Interview: □ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: TYes No. If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner called to discuss the support in the specification of the amended claims in particular the limitation a "multiple layered porous body that is directly formed"... because this seems to be new matter in the claim. The next office action will contain 112 first paragraph rejections for the above limitation. Mr. Jinks stated that the applicant would like more time to respond to these matters and to send out an office action with the 112 rejection. Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Dah-Wei D. Yuan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)